UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/500,237	06/25/2004	Mitsuyasu Tamura	SON-2839	7485
	7590 10/22/200 MAN & GRAUER PLI	EXAMINER		
LION BUILDIN		BECK, ALEXANDER S		
WASHINGTON	REET N.W., SUITE 50 N, DC 20036)1	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2629	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		10/22/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/500,237	TAMURA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
ALEXANDER S. BECK	2629		

	ALEXANDER S. BECK	2629	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ess
THE REPLY FILED 22 September 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appetor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this An no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(ft)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE ').	g date of the final rejectio FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	n. .ED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply original controls.	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ite extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS	t maio a to the plate of filing a baid	مط لمصمعت مطاعم النين	
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beti	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	ΓE below);	
appeal; and/or			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c		ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1: 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amandmant ([OTOL 224)
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		mpilant Amendment (r	-10L-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s). Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		imely filed amendmer	t canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an ex	planation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-13 and 15-22</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
<u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u> 8.	t before or on the date of filing a No	stice of Anneal will not	he entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Sumati Lefkowitz/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629			

Continuation of 3. NOTE:

As to claim 1, the newly added limitation "said adjustment information retrieve means and said level adjustment circuit further comprise: a plurality of pixels, including pixels of at least each respective RGB color; a detection means for detecting a changing value corresponding to the luminance of the plurality of pixels by measuring the voltage between the ends of the light emitting elements" raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

As to claim 10, the newly added limitation "and wherein the plurality of pixels each comprise a light emission control circuit whereby once the pixel receives a drive signal, the light emitting element continues to draw on a voltage source so long as the light emission control circuit receives a signal from the duty ratio adjustment circuit" raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

As to claim 13, the newly added limitation "and the obtaining information step and said changing step include detecting a changing value corresponding to the luminance of the plurality of pixels by measuring the voltage between the ends of the light emitting elements" raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

As to claim 19, the newly added limitation "and a step of illuminating the plurality of pixels wherein, for each pixel, once the pixel receives a drive signal, the light emitting element continues to draw on a voltage source so long as the pixel continues to receives a signal from the duty ratio adjustment circuit" raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.